

REMARKS

I. Status

The Office Action indicates claims 43-62 to be pending in this Application. With this response, claims 43-49, 51, 52, 54, 57, 58, and 61 are amended. No new matter has been added.

The specification is objected to.

Claims 43, 44, 46, 47, 49-52, 54-58, 60, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baskin (U.S. Patent No. 5,307,055) in view of Parulski (U.S. Patent No. 5,666,159).

Claims 45, 48, 53, 59, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baskin in view of Parulski and Harrison (U.S. Patent No. 6,064,420).

Claims 43, 49, 54, and 57 are independent.

II. Objection to the Specification

The Office Action objects to the specification, the Office Action stating that:

“[t]he specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification does not give any support or definition to the claim subject matter in claim 54; in particular, there is no definition of ‘an article of manufacture comprising a computer readable medium containing computer readable code’ found in the Specification to support this claimed feature” (see Office Action p. 2).

However, the Applicant respectfully disagrees in view, for instance, of the disclosure of the present application stating that:

“... inside or outside the CPU unit is a software-based splitting application 218, which enables the handling and splitting of the different data frames”
(see disclosure of the present application p. 12; emphasis added),

and that:

“... [t]he splitting application 218 takes a data frame from a data stack (not shown) at mobile terminal 20 received from base network 10 over communications link 12 and determines where the external display device part 56 is located within the data frame structure by knowing the fixed length of the mobile terminal part 54. If data frame structure 52 is used, the separate header part 60 indicates the location of the external display device part 56. To provide time for the splitting application 218 to handle the incoming data from the network, the received signal is buffered at the mobile terminal 20 in a buffer (not shown). Once the data is split, at step 42, the external display device part 56 is further processed by the Bluetooth protocol stack 65 at the mobile terminal 20 where one or more external display device parts are reassembled into one or more Bluetooth compliant packets formed in accordance with the Baseband Specification within the Bluetooth Specification, the number of such packets depending on the amount of data to be sent”
(see disclosure of the present application p. 15; emphasis added).

In view of at least the foregoing the Applicant respectfully submits that the disclosure of the present application, at least with the amendment to claim 54 herewith, is in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o), and respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

III. Amendment of Independent Claims 43, 49, 54, and 57

With this response independent claims 43, 49, 54, and 57 are amended. No new matter has been added.

The Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail, for example, to disclose, teach, or suggest:

“... a splitting application configured to split received data including at least first image information and second image information into at least two data parts, wherein the first image information and the second image information are for displaying at least two substantially different images ...”

as set forth in each of claims 43 and 57 as amended herewith (emphasis added).

As another example, the cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest:

“... obtaining data, including at least first image information and second image information, in frames; [and]

splitting the obtained data into at least two data parts, wherein the first image information and the second image information are for displaying at least two substantially different images ...”

as set forth in claim 49 as amended herewith (emphasis added).

As an additional example, the cited references, taken individually or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest:

“... split data, including at least first image information and second image information, obtained by a mobile terminal into at least two data parts, wherein the first image information and the second image information are for displaying at least two substantially different images ...”

as set forth in claim 54 as amended herewith (emphasis added).

In view of at least the foregoing, the Applicant respectfully submits that claims 43, 49, 54, and 57 at least with the amendments herewith, as well as those claims that depend therefrom, are in condition for allowance.

IV. Dependent Claim Rejections

The Applicant does not believe it is necessary at this time to further address the rejections of the dependent claims as the Applicant believes that the foregoing places the independent claims in condition for allowance. The Applicant, however, reserves the right to further address those rejections in the future should such a response be deemed necessary and appropriate.

(Continued on next page)

CONCLUSION

The Applicant respectfully submits that this Application is in condition for allowance for which action is earnestly solicited.

If a telephone conference would facilitate prosecution of this Application in any way, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided.

AUTHORIZATION


The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required for this response, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 4208-4353.

Furthermore, in the event that an extension of time is required, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to the above-noted Deposit Account and Order No.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Angus R. Gill', written over a horizontal line.

Angus R. Gill
Registration No. 51,133

Dated: April 25, 2008

Mailing Address:
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.
3 World Financial Center
New York, New York 10281-2101
(212) 415-8700
(212) 415-8701 (Fax)